

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,119	HUDSON ET AL.
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712

All Participants:

Status of Application: Awaiting Action

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier. (3) _____.

(2) Jeffery B. Arnold. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: ~2:25 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner had noted that the election of species was not a single disclosed species and required applicants to further set forth a single disclosed species including the gas, liquid and an intended disclosed utility for an examination starting point. Applicants elected air as the gas, fuel oil as the liquid and the intended utility was combustion but the claims did not require a combustion step. .